

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,259	11/12/2001	Pascal E. Delrieu	PD111	7140
545	7590 11/24/2003		EXAMINER	
	H. HANDAL		WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
	CK & LOCKHART, LLF STON AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
31ST FLOOR			1617	\sim
NEW YORK	, NY 10022-6030		DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	τ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	TTA	ATTY, DOCKET NO.	
			EXA	MINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPÉR NUMBEF	
				6	

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

St. 1841 - 1841

The state of the s							
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
This action is FINAL.							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).							
Disposition of Claims							
☐ Claim(s) 19 - 6 2	is/are pending in the application.						
Claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s) 19 - 45	is/are allowed.						
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.						
	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.						
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on							
	is approved disapproved.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been							
received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PC	CT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*Certified copies not received:							
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	r(e).						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892							
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).							
Interview Summary, PTO-413							
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948							
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152							
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES							

Application/Control Number: 10/017,259

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant's election of Group I, polysaccharides in Paper No. #4 and interview, 10/30/03, paper #6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the any errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1-32, 35-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method for making a gel particle including addition of a restraining polymer, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method not limited to addition of a restraining polymer. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. On page 9 lines 16-27 applicants disclose a method of making with the improvement of the inclusion of a

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington,

418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

restraining polymer. No Broader method unlimited by the addition of the restraining

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-45 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 14-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6319507. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims encompass the patented claims regarding gellant and presence of a restraining polymer.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 9 line 28 is "is cooled" intended after "solution"? The sentence as presently lacks a verb.

Appropriate correction is required.

No claims allowed.

The Examiner notes that Group I in the restriction requirement, paper #3 has been corrected to refer to claims 19-45 rather than 19-46 and Group II has been corrected to refer to claims 46-62 rather than 45-62.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234.

Webman/tgd November 7, 2003 EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER